I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART FIVE OF SIXTEEN

"Cash for Kids" Federal Judge Sweet Dismisses Case Against Ghislaine Maxwell; The Murder of Virginia Giuffre.

Page

I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. THE FEDERAL CASH FOR KIDS JUDGES (INTRO.)……..... 2

1. Judge Robert W. Sweet- *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, S.D.N.Y.……. 4

II. THE FEDERAL CASH FOR KIDS JUDGES

Introduction

In the entire history of American law, not a single case against Donald J. Trump, Jeffrey Epstein, or others implicated in elite child trafficking networks have ever been granted a trial. Every attempt has been unlawfully dismissed by the *"Cash for Kids"* federal judges named herein—judges who exist to gatekeep the federal court system and silence the truth. Their objective is to banish victims from justice and prevent them from setting the record straight. What the government fears most is that the claims of those trafficked by their enterprise, corporate shareholders, and subsidiaries be entered into the public record—permanently preserved in history and beyond their control. Those who have dared to expose corruption at this level—or file claims of this magnitude—have often paid the ultimate price. Whistleblowers and survivors alike have been trafficked, pillaged, silenced, murdered, and disappeared. One such example is Nancy Smith Schaefer, a former Georgia State Senator (2004–2008), who spoke publicly about the role of Child Protective Services (CPS) in facilitating child trafficking. Shortly after, on March 26, 2010, she was found dead—an assassination which was carried out by the very government she sought to hold accountable. The author of this lawsuit has narrowly escaped the same fate—surviving only by the grace of the almighty and faithful God. These failures of justice extend far beyond the Epstein Enterprise. Across the United States, everyday Americans—parents of missing children and survivors of trafficking—have filed countless lawsuits in pursuit of truth and accountability. Yet time and again, their cases are systematically dismissed, ignored, or buried. The courts have become gatekeepers, slamming their doors on the very people they were created to protect. Now, the only federal case in history to reach trial from this vast network of abuse is the one against Sean "Diddy" Combs… and they're rigging it. This

section of the claim demonstrates the harsh reality of intergenerational trafficking, showing how the majority of plaintiffs were first trafficked as children or young adults, and then, as they became parents, the government viewed their children as property—perpetuating the cycle by trafficking these children as well and permanently separating families without just cause.



1. **Judge Robert W. Sweet**

Case: *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, (Case No.1:15-cv-07433, Southern District of New York)

https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/4355835/giuffre-v-maxwell/

Case Text: Judge Robert W. Sweet, now conveniently deceased, played a pivotal role in shielding evidence of international sex trafficking, intelligence operations, and elite abuse networks directly tied to Jeffrey Epstein, Ghislaine Maxwell, and their vast web of co-conspirators across royal, governmental, intelligence, and financial institutions. Despite overwhelming public interest and a growing global demand for transparency, Judge Sweet issued orders that preserved a veil of secrecy over one of the most explosive and consequential civil cases in modern judicial history. Specifically, he denied a motion for a Protective Order in *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, thereby endangering the Plaintiff and suppressing key depositions, transcripts, and documentary evidence that directly implicated heads of state, intelligence officers, royalty, high-level bankers, celebrities, and corporate financiers.

Court Orders: OPINION re: 793 LETTER MOTION to Seal Document Portions of February 16, 2017 Hearing Transcript addressed to Judge Robert W. Sweet from Meredith Schultz dated March 27, 2017 filed by Virginia L. Giuffre, 763 MOTION to Strike Document No. 725 filed by Virginia L. Giuffre, 550 MOTION to Intervene and Unseal filed by Michael Cernovich d/b/a Cernovich Media: This opinion resolves ECF Nos. 550, 763, and 793. The motion of the Intervenor to intervene is granted. The motion to modify the Protective Order is denied. (Signed by Judge Robert W. Sweet on 5/2/2017) (jwh).

Although the Court permitted limited intervention by independent media figure Michael Cernovich, granting a narrow path for press involvement, it simultaneously refused to unseal the full body of evidence, thereby reinforcing the legal firewall that has long protected predators operating within elite networks. These rulings not only delayed

justice but actively endangered other victims, enabling the Epstein-Maxwell trafficking apparatus to regroup, reorganize, and expand. Giuffre's voice—and the voices of countless other survivors—were suppressed in favor of protecting reputations and political interests. The systemic concealment of names, financial relationships, and operational intelligence associated with Epstein's trafficking empire has proven to be a deliberate strategy used by courts, media, and government institutions working in tandem with private interests. Now, in a tragic and deeply suspicious turn, Virginia Giuffre herself is dead—allegedly by suicide at her farm in Western Australia at the age of 41. Her family's statement confirms her passing but leaves much unknown about her final days. Her death—like Epstein's before her—raises disturbing questions about the cost of truth-telling in a world dominated by power, money, and privilege. The iconic photo of Giuffre with Prince Andrew and Ghislaine Maxwell, reportedly taken by Epstein himself in 2001, became the most emblematic piece of public evidence in the royal's entanglement with the trafficking operation. Yet, the photo's origin remains disputed, and no full evidentiary hearing ever took place in a court of law.

Prince Andrew settled with Giuffre in 2022 for an undisclosed sum, avoiding trial, issuing a statement of "regret" without apology or admission of wrongdoing. His public role was stripped, but the judicial system never held him or others fully accountable. Ms. Giuffre's death marks another suspicious silence in the long trail of witnesses connected to Epstein who have died, disappeared, or been discredited. Her voice—so central to exposing Epstein, Maxwell, and the institutions that enabled them—has been permanently silenced. Her "suicide" has fueled international concern that the long shadow of Epstein's abuse and the ongoing cover-up has indirectly claimed another victim. The very rulings issued by Judge Sweet, including his 2017 denial of full unsealing (see ECF Nos. 550, 763, 793), are now undeniably linked to an extended pattern of judicial obstruction that continues to serve traffickers and silence survivors. His courtroom became not a sanctuary for justice, but a fortress for the protection of global oligarchs, intelligence-linked figures, and multinational financiers. Judge Sweet is therefore posthumously named in this action as an obstructer of justice and a judicial

actor whose decisions directly enabled the continued concealment, expansion, and institutional protection of the Epstein trafficking enterprise. His rulings and the secrecy they preserved materially contributed to the prolonged exposure of Giuffre and other victims to threats, surveillance, reputational attacks, and in the most tragic cases—untimely death. This Court must recognize the lasting damage inflicted by judicial decisions that favor secrecy over survival, and power over truth. In memory of Virginia Giuffre—and in the name of those still being trafficked by the very networks she exposed—justice must no longer be delayed or denied.

TIMELINE OF EVENTS: Virginia Giuffre — Trafficked, Silenced, Pillaged, and Murdered.

Background: Virginia Giuffre, Central Witness in the Epstein Trafficking Network

1. 2001–2011: Giuffre alleges she was trafficked by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell beginning in her teens, including to Prince Andrew, among other powerful figures.
2. 2015: Giuffre files suit against Ghislaine Maxwell in *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, SDNY Case No. 1:15-cv-07433, naming elite abusers.
3. 2017: Judge Robert W. Sweet denies the motion to unseal core depositions and transcripts, including those implicating Prince Andrew and other intelligence-linked figures.
4. 2019: Epstein is arrested on federal sex trafficking charges. One month later, Epstein dies in custody, ruled a "suicide"—despite multiple security failures.
5. 2021: Ghislaine Maxwell is convicted of trafficking minors.
6. 2022: Prince Andrew settles out of court with Giuffre, avoiding trial. He denies wrongdoing, offers no apology or admission, and is removed from public royal duties.

7. March 24, 2025 – Car Crash with School Bus: In Western Australia, Virginia Giuffre is involved in a high-speed collision with a school bus while slowing down for a turn. The bus was reportedly traveling at 110 km/h (68 mph) and crashed into Giuffre's vehicle with enough force to cause serious injury. Initial police response was non-existent. Family reports police told them "no one was available to come to the scene." The bus driver left the scene with the children, promising to file a police report later. The departure of the bus driver from the crash scene without immediate formal documentation and the non-existent police response indicates a murder for hire scheme.

8. March 25–28, 2025 – Delayed Medical Decline: Giuffre was “banged up and bruised,” but initially released home after the crash. Her condition rapidly deteriorated at home—she was re-hospitalized and diagnosed with acute kidney failure. In a public Instagram post she writes:

   “I’ve gone into kidney renal failure, they’ve given me four days to live...”

   “... when a school bus driver comes at you driving 110km… your car might as well be a tin can.”

   The timing of this crash—only weeks before upcoming declassifications and renewed civil litigation against Epstein’s financial networks—has clearly indicated targeted retaliation.

9. March 29–April 1, 2025 – Public Revelation and Death Rumors (News breaks via CBS): *“Virginia Giuffre in serious condition after school bus crash.”* Family confirms she is hospitalized in serious condition, expressing “overwhelming gratitude” for support. No further medical updates are made public. Reports silently shift from “hospitalized” to “dead” by suicide without explanation or timeline of her passing.

   Unanswered Questions Suggesting a Cover-Up: Why was there no police response at the scene of a crash involving a high-profile global witness and a vehicle full of children? Why was Giuffre released from initial medical evaluation if her injuries were severe enough to trigger total kidney failure? Why have no detailed medical records, forensic photos, police body cams, or crash reports been released publicly? Why did mainstream media bury the story within 48 hours after her family’s announcement—despite her centrality to one of the world’s most infamous trafficking networks?

   Pattern of Silencing Epstein-Connected Victims & Witnesses: (a) Jeffrey Epstein –

“suicide” in federal custody despite multiple security failures. (b) Jean-Luc Brunel – Epstein’s associate, found dead in French prison in 2022. (c) Dr. Scully (Australia) – whistleblower doctor who treated trafficked girls, found dead shortly after Maxwell conviction. (d) Virginia Giuffre – silenced through suspicious crash, kidney failure, and public narrative collapse.

GENERATIONAL TRAFFICKING: The Targeting of Virginia Giuffre by the “Cash for Kids” Family Court Systems (An International Crisis).

Virginia Giuffre—one of the most visible and courageous survivors of the Jeffrey Epstein trafficking operation—was not only failed by the federal judiciary in the United States through systemic sealing of evidence (see *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, SDNY Case No. 1:15-cv-07433), but subsequently targeted through family courts operating under the *“Cash for Kids”* model of judicial corruption and retaliatory parental alienation. In February 2025, Ms. Giuffre was unlawfully separated from her three children under the guise of a domestic restraining order—an order issued not for the safety of her children, but as part of a retaliatory scheme designed to psychologically torment, satanically abuse, and isolate her as she continued to drop names and push forward legal truth. The judge overseeing Giuffre’s family court matter in Western Australia was Magistrate Andrew Maughan, who presided at the Joondalup Magistrates Court in Perth. In early February 2025, Giuffre's deceptive husband, Robert, filed for a family violence restraining order that barred her from contacting or seeing their three children until approximately June 2025. Seeing that he requested the very government that trafficked her for this abusive order, they seized the opportunity to deploy tactical advantages against their victim. Giuffre was then charged with breaching that void order on February 2, with her first

appearance on March 14. On April 9, Magistrate Andrew Maughan adjourned her court appearance at her lawyer's request, setting the next hearing for June 11, at which she would never get the chance to enter a plea. At the time of her death, Ms. Giuffre had not seen her children for months. Her final public message—posted shortly after a suspicious vehicle crash—clearly expressed her only dying wish:

"I'm ready to go, just not until I see my babies one last time."

virginiarobertsrising11 This year has been the worst start to a new year, but I won't bore anyone with the details but I think it important to note that when a school bus driver comes at you driving 110km as we were slowing for a turn that no matter what your car is made of it might as well be a tin can. I've gone into kidney renal failure, they've given me four days to live, transferring me to a specialist hospital in urology. I'm ready to go, just not until I see my babies one last time, but you know what they say about wishes. S**T in one hand and wish in the other & I guarantee it's still going to be s**t at the end of the day. Thank you all for being the wonderful people of the world and for being a great part of my life. Godbless you all xx Virginia

This separation was not incidental. It was judicially orchestrated by actors within the international *"Cash for Kids"* enterprise—a racketeering model in which family court judges, government agencies, and affiliated legal professionals collude to exploit children and parents for financial incentives, including federal funding (e.g., Title IV-E), court-appointed programs, and trafficking cover-ups. The system functions by:

1. Weaponizing false or exaggerated claims of domestic violence,
2. Unlawfully issuing restraining orders without due process,
3. Stripping protective or truth-telling parents of custody,

4. Assigning court-aligned therapists or guardians ad litem to control narratives, and
5. Financially benefiting from foster care placements, family "reunification" grants, and prolonged litigation.

Virginia Giuffre's estrangement from her children must be seen as an act of judicial coercion and retribution, aimed at silencing a key witness to elite child trafficking and protecting perpetrators still embedded in government, finance, and intelligence. The court orders issued in her case were legally defective, unsupported by clear and convincing evidence, and issued in contravention of both international human rights standards and U.S. constitutional protections, including:

1. The First Amendment (retaliation for speaking out),
2. The Fourteenth Amendment (due process and equal protection),
3. The Convention on the Rights of the Child (right to family unity),
4. And the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (TVPRA), which prohibits retaliatory legal action against victims or witnesses.

Moreover, these judicial actions mirror documented tactics used against other whistleblowers and trafficking victims across the globe. Corrupt courts have functioned not as forums for justice, but as extensions of transnational trafficking enterprises. Virginia Giuffre was silenced, isolated, and ultimately martyred by the very systems she sought to expose. Her forced alienation from her children was not merely a tragedy—it was a criminal act, coordinated through illicit judicial channels and directly tied to the same enterprise she named in her civil complaints. Accordingly, the family court judges involved in the issuance and enforcement of these orders must be investigated and named as co-conspirators in a larger RICO enterprise, in violation of: (a) 18 U.S.C. §§

1961–1968 (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act), (b) 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1595 (Federal Trafficking Statutes), and (c) International law, including the UN Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons.

Her death—following an uninvestigated vehicle crash, ignored police calls, sudden kidney failure, and suppressed legal access—must be viewed not as an accident, but as a culmination of years of institutional retaliation, corruption, and coordinated trafficking concealment.

Conclusion: Virginia Giuffre, a courageous voice who stood against one of the most protected pedophile rings in modern history, was systematically failed by law enforcement, the courts, and global institutions. From Judge Sweet's protection of elite predators, to Epstein's "suicide," to the crash and medical negligence in Australia, every moment reveals a chilling pattern. Those who expose systemic trafficking are targeted, silenced, or erased. Giuffre's death should not be treated as an isolated tragedy, but rather as a critical data point in a continuing criminal enterprise that uses intelligence, finance, and legal institutions to protect abusers and eliminate anyone who possess a threat to their way of life.